

**DRAFT**

Minutes of the meeting of the  
**Tandridge LOCAL COMMITTEE**  
held at 10.15 am on 23 June 2017  
at Council Chamber, Tandridge District Council Offices, Station Road East,  
Oxted, RH8 0BT.

**Surrey County Council Members:**

- \* Mr David Hodge CBE
- Mr Chris Botten
- \* Mr David Lee
- \* Mrs Lesley Steeds
- \* Mrs Rose Thorn
- \* Mr Cameron McIntosh

**District Members:**

- \* Mr Pat Cannon
- \* Mr Michael Cooper
- \* Mr Martin Fisher
- Cllr Nick Childs
- Cllr Simon Morrow
- Mrs Maureen Young

\* In attendance

---

**113/17 APOLOGIES FOR ABSENCE [Item 1]**

Apologies were received from Mr Simon Morrow, Mrs Maureen Young, Mr Nick Childs and Mr Chris Botten.

**114/17 MINUTES OF PREVIOUS MEETING [Item 2]**

The minutes from the previous meeting on 3 March 2017 were agreed as a true record and signed by the Chairman.

**115/17 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST [Item 3]**

None declared.

**116/17 PETITIONS [Item 4]**

No petitions had been received.

**117/17 FORMAL PUBLIC QUESTIONS [Item 5]**

Two public questions had been received. The responses were tabled at the meeting and a copy is annexed to the minutes.

**1) HGV traffic for Chalkpit Quarry, from Mr Nick Skellett.**

Mr Skellett did not attend the meeting.

**Member discussion – key points:**

- Members discussed the serious concerns that local residents have about the volume of HGVs travelling around these rural, narrow, residential streets if activity at the quarry is to build up again, after a hiatus of a number of years.
- Members expressed their astonishment and frustration that the Environment Agency has increased the permitted infilling tonnage to 200,000 tonnes per annum, from 100,000 tonnes, without consulting the county council.
- Where there are more than 30-40 HGV movements per day, the HGVs then cannot avoid having to pass each other as one heads to the quarry and one leaves. The road is too narrow for this, resulting in one lorry having to mount the verge. There has been an accident in the past as a result, back in 2008, when the volume of HGVs was high. Work at the quarry typically seems to be compressed into a few months, which exacerbates the HGV issues. There is no bridleway along this route, and no footpath, and yet many horseriders and school children use this route. Mr David Hodge, Leader of the County Council and member for Warlingham, reminded the Local Committee that county councillors have a duty as Corporate Parents to stand up for children's safety.
- Mr Martin Fisher, Ward Councillor for Oxted North and Tandridge, asked the Local Committee to ensure the County Council's Planning and Regulatory Committee are aware of the Local Committee's concerns about the proposed increase in HGV movements as a result of the increase to the infilling tonnage approved by the Environment Agency.

**Resolution:**

The Local Committee agreed to note the response.

The Local Committee also agreed the following actions, as proposed by Mr Hodge and seconded by Mr McIntosh, and supported by all members present:

- 1) Write to the Environment Agency and demand that a Minister comes to see the site.
- 2) Request the emergency services to undertake a risk assessment.
- 3) Write to the Cabinet Member for Environment and Transport to reflect the local concerns about the proposed increase in HGV volumes and ask for a cap to be implemented.

**2) Cuts to Highways Localism Funding, Mr Bob Milton, Chaldon Village Council**

Mr Milton attended the meeting.

Mr Milton expressed his disappointment and frustration that the Localism Funding, previously available for Parish Councils, has been cut this year. Parish Councils need to be more involved, and communication from SCC needs to be better. Parishes need more funds and decision making devolved to them, as they are well placed to deliver locally. Mr Milton asked the county council not to abandon localism.

**Member discussion – key points:**

- Mr Cannon, Ward Councillor for Chaldon, supported Mr Milton, and added his disappointment that the funding would be withdrawn, with the late notice leaving the Village Council in a difficult position.
- Mr David Hodge offered his apologies on behalf of the county council. Local Committees had expected that the funding for this would continue, but the reality of the council's financial challenges, meant that it had had to be looked at again, and there could be no guarantee of any funding for future years, at this stage. Mr Hodge confirmed he will be meeting Surrey's MPs in July, and will be clear that the county needs more funding.

The Area Highway Manager confirmed that a letter had gone out to parishes who usually bid for this funding, but it had not been possible to confirm budgets to parishes any earlier, as set out in the response. Some parishes may be able to look at using Community Infrastructure Levy (CIL) for local works, and the central grass cutting contract remains in place, as well as the Lingfield Hub. There is still some revenue funding for a community gang, and each county member can prioritise these locally.

**Resolution:**

The Local Committee agreed to note the response, and to note Mr Cannon's disappointment with it.

**118/17 MEMBERS QUESTIONS [Item 6]**

No members' questions had been received.

**119/17 DECISION TRACKER (FOR INFORMATION) [Item 7]**

Members were asked to note the report.

Mr David Lee, divisional member for Caterham Valley, asked why speeding issues on Burntwood Lane were not referenced on the tracker. The Area Highway Manager agreed to look at this outside of the meeting and go back to Mr Lee.

**120/17 PROPOSED BRIDLEWAY CREATION ON PUBLIC FOOTPATH 120 CATERHAM VALLEY (OTHER COUNTY COUNCIL FUNCTIONS) [Item 8]**

**Officers attending:** Debbie Prismall, Senior Countryside Access Officer, Joanne Porter, Countryside Access Assistant.

**Speaking in support:**

Mrs Avril Sleeman, Access Officer, British Horse Society, had registered to speak in support of the proposal. Mrs Sleeman spoke for 3 minutes, during which time she outlined her reasons for supporting the proposals, including:

- Have used the route for many years because there are no barriers to deter horse riders.
- Bridge was designated a bridleway in 2004 and a new bridge built in 2007.
- Horses get frightened by the cars if you take them along the A22 embankment.
- The Horse Society has offered to pay compensation to the landowner.
- The route is an important link and much needed for safety.

The Senior Countryside Access Officer stated that the current route along the A22 embankment is not safe for horses, and is a long way around.

**Member discussion – key points:**

- The Divisional Member for Caterham Valley stated that the decision was an obvious one that he fully supports. No signage is required, and the current signage incorrectly indicates it is a cycle route already.
- The Ward Member for Harestone asked about what would happen to the embankment if the recommendation is approved. The Senior Countryside Access Officer confirmed that this would remain as highway verge.
- In response to a question about the reasons why the landowner had objected, the Senior Countryside Access Officer confirmed that the landowner had indicated the reason for objecting to the proposals was that he did not want horseriders to have formal use of the route.
- Mr Hodge proposed an amendment to the recommendation, as detailed below, and this was seconded by Mr McIntosh, and agreed by the committee.

**Vote:**

The committee held a vote with a show of hands, and all 8 members present voted FOR the officer's recommendation.

**Resolution:**

**The Local Committee (Tandridge) RESOLVED to agree that:**

i. A creation order is made under section 26 of the Highways Act 1980, to create Public Bridleway No.120 (Caterham Valley) onto the line of Public Footpath No. 120 (Caterham Valley), shown A – B on Drg. No. 3/1/325/H5 **as dated 27/10/2016** and that if any objections are received and maintained to the Order that it is submitted to the Secretary of State for the Environment, Food and Rural Affairs for determination.

**Reasons:**

Officers are of the view that the criteria for making a creation order have been met.

The new route will benefit the public by providing a useful, safer link in the rights of way network for horse riders and cyclists.

**121/17 LOCAL COMMITTEE COMMUNITY SAFETY FUNDING AND REPRESENTATION ON TASK GROUPS AND EXTERNAL BODIES (EXECUTIVE FUNCTION FOR DECISION) [Item 9]**

**Officer attending:** Vicki Eade, Partnership Lead (East)

The Partnership Lead introduced the report. The committee was asked to agree the process for approving the expenditure of the community safety budget, and to agree the nomination of Mr Nick Childs, Ward Councillor for Godstone, to be the Local Committee representative on the East Surrey Community Safety Partnership. This was set out in the Supplementary Agenda, tabled at the meeting.

**Resolution:**

**The Local Committee (Tandridge) RESOLVED to agree that:**

(i) The committee's delegated community safety budget of £3000 for 2017/18 be retained by the Community Partnership Team, on behalf of the Local Committee, and that the Community Safety Partnership and/or other local organisations be invited to submit proposals for funding that meet the criteria and principles set out at paragraph 2.4 of this report.

(ii) Authority be delegated to the Community Partnership Manager, in consultation with the Chairman and Vice-Chairman of the Local Committee, to authorise the expenditure of the community safety budget in accordance with the criteria and principles stated at paragraph 2.4 of this report.

(iii) The committee receives updates on the project(s) that was funded, the outcomes and the impact it has achieved.

(iv) The committee approves the nomination to the East Surrey Community Safety Partnership, as set out in paragraphs 2.9 and 2.10.

**Reasons:**

The report sets out a process for allocating the committee's delegated community safety budget of £3000 to local organisations. It also proposes Local Committee representation on the East Surrey Community Safety Partnership for the forthcoming year. The appointment of councillors of the Local Committee to external bodies enables the committee's representation on and input to such bodies.

**122/17 DORMANSLAND ROAD SAFETY SCHEME UPDATE (EXECUTIVE FUNCTION FOR DECISION) [Item 10]**

**Officers attending:** Zena Curry, Area Highway Manager, Anne-Marie Hannam, Senior Traffic Engineer, Philippa Gates, Traffic Engineer

The Area Highway Manager introduced the report. The reports seeks the Local Committee's approval as it involves making a material change to the highway.

**Member discussion – key points:**

- Members noted that the drawing in Annex 2 is marked 'draft'. The Area Highway Manager confirmed this was an error, and that the drawing in Annex 2 would be remarked 'final'.

**Resolution:**

**The Local Committee (Tandridge) RESOLVED to:**

(i) Approve the traffic calming scheme for High Street, Dormansland as shown in Annex 2 to this report, for construction.

**Reasons:**

To enable the revised scheme developer funded traffic calming scheme for High Street, Dormansland to be implemented.

**123/17 HIGHWAYS SCHEMES UPDATE 2017/18 (SERVICE MONITORING AND ISSUES OF LOCAL CONCERN) [Item 11]**

**Officers attending:** Zena Curry, Area Highway Manager, Anne-Marie Hannam, Senior Traffic Engineer, Philippa Gates, Traffic Engineer

The Area Highway Manager introduced the report.

**Members discussion – key points:**

In response to a question about the 'anomaly' on Godstone Road, the Area Highway Manager confirmed that the signs on site did not match the legal traffic order, and therefore the traffic order needed to be amended. The signs will remain in place.

**Resolution:**

**The Local Committee (Tandridge) RESOLVED to note the contents of the report.**

**Reasons:**

To update the Local Committee on the progress of the highway works programme in Tandridge.

**124/17 HIGHWAYS FORWARD PROGRAMME 2017/18 - 2018/19 (SERVICE MONITORING AND ISSUES OF LOCAL CONCERN) [Item 12]**

**Officers attending:** Zena Curry, Area Highway Manager, Anne-Marie Hannam, Senior Traffic Engineer, Philippa Gates, Traffic Engineer

The Area Highway Manager introduced the report. The Local Committee has a devolved budget for local highways schemes and maintenance. The report

sets out the agreed programme of works following the reduction to the Local Committee's devolved budget. Additionally, works in Tandridge are also programmed centrally, which do not come under this budget, such as pothole repair, and drainage. The Area Highway Manager acknowledged that the budget reduction is disappointing.

A verbal correction was offered for paragraph 2.5, fourth bullet point, where 'Community groups who have previously bid for funding under the Localism initiative have been advised', should be '*will be advised*'.

It will be possible for the committee to vire money during the year, and this is delegated to the Area Highway Manager in consultation with the Chairman and Vice-Chairman.

#### **Member discussion – key points:**

- In response to a question about drainage works and the new contractor appointed, as detailed in the 3 March minutes, the Area Highway Manager confirmed this is centrally funded. The vast majority of ditches are privately owned. Concern was expressed about the speed and quality of ditch and drainage clearing, and issues not being resolved, i.e. A22. Members were asked to inform the Area Highway Manager of their areas of concern.
- Members expressed their disappointment with the drastically reduced budget. The Chairman proposed to write to the Cabinet Member for Highways to express the committee's dissatisfaction. Mr David Lee seconded this motion. Mr Hodge and Mr Cooper abstained from supporting this motion.
- Mr Hodge proposed that the committee writes to the East Surrey MP, to campaign that the volume of vehicles is made a major factor in determining local funding as opposed to solely road length. Mr David Lee seconded this motion.

#### **Resolution:**

**The Local Committee (Tandridge) RESOLVED to note the contents of the report.**

#### **Reasons:**

To update the Local Committee on the revised Tandridge capital and revenue highways works programmes agreed under delegated authority.

#### **125/17 PARKING ENFORCEMENT UPDATE (SERVICE MONITORING AND ISSUES OF LOCAL CONCERN) [Item 13]**

**Officers attending:** David Curl, Parking Strategy and Implementation Team Manager, Jacquie Joseph, Parking Manager Reigate and Banstead Borough Council

The Parking Strategy and Implementation Team Manager introduced the report, and circulated a paper showing the number of Penalty Charge Notices

(PCNs) issued per road during 2016-17. A copy of this is annexed to the minutes. This report provides an update on the trial of employing more Civil Enforcement Officers (CEOs) only; a full report on the service will be provided to the Local Committee with a full financial outturn for the Local Committee's September or December meeting. The financial outturn will have been audited.

600 additional PCNs have been issued as a result of the additional CEOs employed. The Parking Review is due to come to the Local Committee in September for a decision. The county council is currently investigating enforcement arrangements, and this includes talking to districts and boroughs and looking at what the private sector could offer. This is expected to be put to the county council's Cabinet over the winter.

#### **Members discussion – key points:**

- In response to a number of questions from members about the specific locations in the roads listed in the tabled paper, the Parking Manager agreed to provide greater detail for members, including clarifying the 'unnamed service road', why only 2 PCNs had been issued at Station Road Caterham, and the location of the PCNs on Croydon Road.
- Members were pleased to note that the trial was succeeding.
- Members asked the Parking Strategy and Implementation Team Manager to send on the outstanding PCN data to Tandridge District Council, and asked that Surrey County Council officers work closely with the district as they have a number of changes happening in relation to off-street parking coming up, which will impact on on-street parking.
- Members asked for the financial outturn to include the same information for the previous year, to enable comparisons.

#### **Resolution:**

##### **The Local Committee RESOLVED to:**

(i) Note the contents of the report.

#### **Reasons:**

Waiting and parking restrictions that are suitably/adequately enforced will help to:

- Improve road safety
- Increase access for emergency vehicles
- improve access to shops, facilities and businesses
- Increase access for refuse vehicles and service vehicles
- Ease traffic congestion
- Better regulate parking

The Local Committee can contribute towards these objectives in partnership with the enforcement team.

Meeting ended at: 12.03 pm

---

**Chairman**

This page is intentionally left blank

**SURREY COUNTY COUNCIL**

**LOCAL COMMITTEE (TANDRIDGE)**

**DATE: 23 JUNE 2017**

**SUBJECT: PUBLIC QUESTION: HGV TRAFFIC FOR CHALKPIT QUARRY**

**DIVISION: OXTED**



Mr Nick Skellett asks

In order to alleviate congestion and the impact on local roads and residents of the increasing HGV traffic would the Local Committee please implement urgently the following safety measures improvements which have been suggested by a local resident:

1. A pavement between the Scout hut and Barrow Green Road (with anti-climb curbs if possible to prevent future damage by lorries).
2. Vehicle approaching lights each side of the railway bridge in Chalkpit Lane.
3. Vehicle approaching lights where the road narrows north of the M25 bridge and the quarry. The vehicle approaching lights overcome the problem of a traffic queue at these points which would bring their own set of problems. The system consists of a pair of warning signs, which are activated as soon as a vehicle enters the zone in order to warn vehicles travelling in the opposite direction. A simple active warning sign would be a flashing light and a sign "vehicle approaching." Surrey Highways have knowledge of and experience with a wide range of sensor technologies available for vehicle detectors, and may have other off-the-shelf solutions.
4. A vehicle activated electronic speed sign in Chalkpit Lane for vehicles coming from the Chalkpit - opposite the junction with Hamfield Close where vehicles appear to be going fastest.
5. A 20 mph speed restriction between at least Hamfield Close on Chalkpit Lane and Barrow Green Road.

**Response:**

The C74 Chalkpit Lane is a rural road along part of the length, and from the junction with The Ridge the road descends the scarp slope of the North Downs, passing under the M25 and coming into the residential area of Oxted. The road then passes under the Network Rail bridge before joining Barrow Green Road. There is a quarry that is accessed from Chalkpit Lane between The Ridge and the M25. The Heavy Goods Vehicles (HGVs) associated with the quarry are required to drive south into Oxted as there is a 6'6" width restriction in place immediately to the north of the quarry entrance, that prohibits large vehicles from driving up to The Ridge. It is appreciated that there is local concern about the HGV movements along Chalkpit Lane.

## Question 1

During the three year period April 2014 to March 2017, the latest period for which data is available, there has been one reported collision involving serious personal injury in the section of Chalkpit Lane between the entrance to the quarry and the junction with Barrow Green Road. This collision involved a single cyclist that lost control negotiating the priority give way arrangement south of the M25.

1. There is no footway on the section of Chalkpit Lane between the junction with Gordons Way and Barrow Green Road. There is a narrow verge and a ditch beside the Chalkpit Lane carriageway between the Scout hut entrance and Barrow Green Road. It is acknowledged that pedestrians do walk along the narrow verge beside Chalkpit Lane. A feasibility design would be required to establish if there is sufficient width within the existing highway boundary for the provision of a footway. The likely cost of a scheme to provide a footway on this section of Barrow Green Road, even if there is the available highway width, would be outside of the scope of the limited funding that is currently available to Tandridge Local Committee for Integrated Transport Scheme works.
2. All schemes that are implemented on the public highway must comply with both the relevant legislation and guidance that is issued by the Department for Transport. Vehicle approaching lights, as requested by Mr Skellett and described above, are not a recognised method of traffic control that can be used on the public highway network. For this reason there are no plans to investigate this suggestion further.
3. It would not be possible to implement vehicle approaching lights on the narrow section of Chalkpit Lane, north of the M25, as is suggested for the reason given in the response to point 2 above.
4. Mr Skellett has suggested that a Vehicle Activated Sign (VAS) indicating the speed limit be provided in Chalkpit Lane opposite the entrance to Hamlin Close to face southbound traffic. The County Council follows an evidence led approach to such requests, and therefore it is proposed to carry out a speed survey to measure traffic speeds in order to establish if the installation of a VAS is appropriate.

Limited revenue funding has been set aside to fund speed surveys in 2017/18 and this funding has already been allocated to other surveys. This survey will be added to a list of surveys to be carried out in the future when there is available funding. The results of the survey will be reported to the Tandridge Local Committee Chairman, Vice Chairman and Divisional Member. A decision will be made, based on the results of the survey together with the collision record, as to whether a scheme to provide a VAS in Chalkpit Lane be added to the Integrated Transport Schemes list for consideration for future funding.

5. Surrey County Council has an approved Policy "Setting Local Speed Limits" which sets out the criteria under which a reduction in speed limit by signs alone would be considered. The policy requires that the length of road over which a speed limit change would be considered should be at least 600m in length. This is to ensure against too many speed limit changes that could be confusing to the motorist along a length of road. The suggestion being put forward by Mr Skellett to reduce the speed limit on the section of Chalkpit Lane between Hamlin Close and Barrow Green Road to 20mph would not meet this criterion, as this section of Chalkpit Lane is only approximately 420m in length. For this reason there are no plans to investigate this suggestion further.

---

**Contact Officer:** Philippa Gates, Traffic Engineer, 0300 200 1003

**SURREY COUNTY COUNCIL**

**LOCAL COMMITTEE (TANDRIDGE)**

**DATE: 23 JUNE 2017**

**SUBJECT: PUBLIC QUESTION: LOCALISM FUNDING**

**DIVISION: CATERHAM ON THE HILL**



### Background

Mr Bob Milton, Chairman, Chaldon Village Council (CVC) asks:

Chaldon Village Council has fully embraced "localism" and has previously received a £3000 grant from SCC to carry out essential maintenance work around the Village.

Localism has been very successful applied in Chaldon, enabling local decisions to be made by local people who have a far greater awareness and understanding of the issues. Work has been carried out quickly and at a significantly reduced cost, compared to similar work completed by SCC.

On 21<sup>st</sup> April CVC, along with a number of other parish councils, received an email from Michael Dawson, Custom Service and Improvement Manager, Local Highway Service, stating that the funding available for highway work, including Localism, has been reduced. The email went on to advise that we should not incur costs ... in the expectation that funding would be provided by SCC.

A follow-up enquiry of Mr. Dawson revealed that it was highly unlikely that any funding would be available for Localism.

The email was the first notification that this council has received from SCC that Localism funding was being reduced. Our SCC Councillor was also unable to recall receiving any notification.

The precept for Chaldon Village Council is just £25000. The Localism funding has added an additional £3000 increasing our budget by over 12%. The removal of this funding will have a significant impact on our budget and prevent us from carrying out essential work.

The timing of this first notification of a reduction/loss of our localism grant has prevented CVC from putting in place alternative funding or increasing our precept for 2018/19.

When will we be notified of the Localism grant for Chaldon Village Council for 2018/19? Why was the notification of a reduction/loss of the Localism grant not communicated to CVC (and other parishes) much earlier? What assessment has been made on the impact of a reduction/loss of the localism grant on smaller councils? Will this impact on smaller councils be taken into consideration when considering the allocation of localism funding?

## Question 2

**Response:**

It is acknowledged that the funding previously available under the Localism Grant Scheme is highly valued by Chaldon Village Council. The works carried out as a result of this funding are greatly appreciated and contribute to the amenity of the area.

The final approved 2017/18 budget for the County Council was not formally agreed by Cabinet until the end of March 2017. Budgets for individual directorates and services could therefore not be allocated until that approval was in place.

The Local Committee Chairmen were advised of the budget position on 10 April 2017 so that discussions could be held with the Members of their Local Committee. The Chairmen were also advised that all groups who had previously applied for a grant under the Localism Scheme would be notified.

The email sent to you on 21 April 2017 advised that "it remains the responsibility of the Local Committee to determine how it allocates its resources". It has therefore not been possible to provide any further information until the Local Committee budget has been agreed.

All grants under the localism scheme have to be applied for on an annual basis, and are supported by the Divisional Member. There has never been a commitment or guarantee of ongoing funding. Surrey Highways advised the Parishes and other organisations as a matter of courtesy, in advance of any decision being made by the Local Committees, so that unnecessary costs were not incurred.

The budget for 2018/19 has not yet been set and therefore it is not possible to say at this time whether funding under the Localism Grant Scheme would be available in 2018/19.

It is appreciated that the value of the grant is proportionately greater for smaller Parish Councils and community organisations. Unfortunately the Localism Grant Scheme is not available for any Parish or community organisation to apply for in the 2017/18 financial year, irrespective of size.

Suggestions and requests for highway maintenance in Chaldon Village can still be made through the Surrey County Council website or through your Divisional Member.

---

**Contact Officer:** Michael Dawson, Customer Service & Improvement Manager, 0300 200 1003

Road name	PCNs Issued
Croydon Road CV	337
Gresham Road	232
Station Road West	175
Station Road East	172
Timber Hill Road	139
Station Approach (Oxted)	134
Unnamed Service Road (Tandridge)	124
Granville Road	90
Johnsdale	89
Godstone Road (Caterham)	85
Mount Pleasant Road	76
Station Road (Whyteleafe)	72
Beatrice Road	70
Crescent Road	66
Amy Road	65
Church Road (Woldingham)	48
Godstone Road (Whyteleafe)	46
Church Lane (Oxted)	45
Hoskins Road	41
Station Approach (Whyteleafe)	39
Court Farm Road	37
High Street (Caterham on the Hill)	37
Church Road (Whyteleafe)	36
Poplar Walk	33
Coulsdon Road	27
Bluehouse Lane	26
Chaldon Road	25
The Green (Warlingham)	24
Glebe Road	22
Hillbury Road	22
Station Road (Lingfield)	22
The Square (Caterham)	22
Meldrum Close	21
Station Avenue	21
High Street (Lingfield)	20
Limpsfield Road (Warlingham)	19
High Street (Old Oxted)	18

Road name	PCNs Issued
Searchwood Road	18
Wheelers Lane	18
Essendene Road	17
Westway	17
Harestone Hill	15
Townend	14
Chichele Road	13
Church Walk (Tandridge)	13
Cromwell Road (Tandridge)	13
Court Road (Tandridge)	11
East Hill Road	11
Nelson Road	11
Redehall Road	11
Greenhurst Lane	10
Wheeler Avenue	10
Tupwood Lane	9
Eldon Road	7
Markfield Road	7
Stafford Road	7
Westhall Road (Warlingham)	7
East Grinstead Road	6
Eden Way	6
Eothen Close	6
New Lodge Drive	6
Paddock Way	6
Banstead Road	5
Court Bushes Road	5
Dormans Station Road	5
Harestone Valley Road	5
Homestead Road	5
Hornchurch Hill	5
Oakley Road	5
Westerham Road	5
Beechwood Gardens	4
Birch Avenue	4
Essendene Close	4
High Street (Dormansland)	4
High Street (Godstone)	4
Hurst Green Road	4
Rockfield Road	4
The Crescent (Woldingham)	4
Tithepit Shaw Lane (Whyteleafe)	4
Church Road (Caterham on the H	3

Road name	PCNs Issued
Clareville Road	3
Commonwealth Road	3
Headland Way (Lingfield)	3
Homesdale Road	3
Salisbury Road (Tandridge)	3
Tillingdown Hill	3
Unnamed Service Road (Hamsey)	3
Bakers Lane	2
Detillens Lane (Tandridge)	2
Ellice Road	2
Farleigh Road	2
Foxon Lane (Caterham)	2
Francis Road	2
Greenhill Avenue	2
Heath Road	2
Park Road (Caterham on the Hill)	2
Snatts Hill	2
Station Road (Caterham)	2
Uvedale Road	2
Westhall Road (Whyteleafe)	2
Woodhurst Lane	2
Add son Road	1
Barrow Green Road	1
Beechwood Road	1
Bletchingley Road	1
Colliers	1
Cromwell Grove	1
Elm Road (Tandridge)	1
Godstone Road (Lingfield)	1
Godstone Road (Oxted)	1
Gun Pit Road	1
Hallsland Way	1
Holland Road	1
Hurstlands	1
Markville Gardens	1
Mill Lane	1
Park Road (Oxted)	1
Pollards Oak Road	1
Shelton Avenue	1
Stanley Street	1
Tithepit Shaw Lane (Hamsey Gree	1
Whyteleafe Hill	1
Wood Lane	1
<b>TOTAL</b>	<b>2996</b>

## PCN's Issued in Tandridge 2016/17

This page is intentionally left blank